



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,510	06/29/2001	Brian Rasmussen	SUN-P6236	8236	
75	90 09/09/2003				
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			EXAMINER		
Two North Mar San Jose, CA	ket Street, Third Floor 95113		LABAZE,	LABAZE, EDWYN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876		
·		DATE MAIL DD: 00/00/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			KV/_
	Application	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/895,510	RASMUSSEN ET A	L.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDWYN LABAZE	2876	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cov r sheet with the o	correspond nce add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of this application and avoid abandonment of the same application and applications.	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dehave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the man SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16 and 23-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			
	SUPE	MICHAEL G. LEE RVISORY PATENT EX CHNOLOGY CENTER	AMINER 2800



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitations, "that is being used for the first time by a user" as stated in claim 1 and "that has not been previously used by a user to whom the non-activated smart card has been issued" according to claim 9, raise new issues that would require further considerations and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art of record, Muftic, still meets the limitations of the claimed invention.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-16 and 23-25 remain rejected as set forth in the Final Office Ation (see paper no.4).